

# Partial derivatives

*Notice: this material must not be used as a substitute for attending  
the lectures*

## 0.1 Recall: ordinary derivatives

If  $y$  is a function of  $x$  then  $\frac{dy}{dx}$  is the **derivative** meaning the gradient (slope of the graph) or the rate of change with respect to  $x$ .

## 0.2 Functions of 2 or more variables

Functions which have more than one variable arise very commonly. Simple examples are

- formula for the area of a triangle  $A = \frac{1}{2}bh$  is a function of the two variables, base  $b$  and height  $h$
- formula for electrical resistors in parallel:

$$R = \left( \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} + \frac{1}{R_3} \right)^{-1}$$

is a function of three variables  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  and  $R_3$ , the resistances of the individual resistors.

Let's talk about functions of two variables here. You should be used to the notation  $y = f(x)$  for a function of one variable, and that the graph of  $y = f(x)$  is a curve. For functions of two variables the notation simply becomes

$$z = f(x, y)$$

where the two **independent** variables are  $x$  and  $y$ , while  $z$  is the **dependent** variable. The graph of something like  $z = f(x, y)$  is a **surface** in three-dimensional space. Such graphs are usually quite difficult to draw by hand.

Since  $z = f(x, y)$  is a function of two variables, if we want to differentiate we have to decide whether we are differentiating with respect to  $x$  or with respect to  $y$  (the answers are different). A special notation is used. We use the symbol  $\partial$  instead of  $d$  and introduce the **partial derivatives** of  $z$ , which are:

- $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$  is read as "partial derivative of  $z$  (or  $f$ ) with respect to  $x$ ", and means differentiate with respect to  $x$  holding  $y$  constant
- $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$  means differentiate with respect to  $y$  holding  $x$  constant

**Another common notation** is the subscript notation:

$$z_x \quad \text{means} \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$$

$$z_y \quad \text{means} \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$$

Note that we cannot use the dash ' symbol for partial differentiation because it would not be clear what we are differentiating with respect to.

### 0.3 Example

Calculate  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$  and  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$  when  $z = x^2 + 3xy + y - 1$ .

*Solution.* To find  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$  treat  $y$  as a constant and differentiate with respect to  $x$ . We have  $z = x^2 + 3xy + y - 1$  so

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = 2x + 3y$$

Similarly

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = 3x + 1$$

### 0.4 Example

Calculate  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$  and  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$  when  $z = 1 - x - \frac{1}{2}y$ . Interpret your answers and draw the graph.

*Solution.* The graph of  $z = 1 - x - \frac{1}{2}y$  is a plane passing through the points  $(x, y, z) = (1, 0, 0)$ ,  $(0, 2, 0)$  and  $(0, 0, 1)$ . The partial derivatives are:

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = -1, \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = -\frac{1}{2}$$

Interpretation:  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$  is the slope you will notice if you walk on the surface in a direction keeping your  $y$  coordinate fixed.  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$  is the slope you will notice if you walk on the surface in such a direction that your  $x$  coordinate remains the same. There are, of course, many other directions you could walk, and the slope you will notice when walking in some other direction can be worked out knowing both  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$  and  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$ . It's like when you walk on a mountain, there are many directions you could walk and each one will have its own slope.

### 0.5 Other examples of evaluating partial derivatives

(i)  $z = \ln(x^2 - y)$ . Then  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \frac{2x}{x^2 - y}$  and  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = \frac{-1}{x^2 - y}$ . [To deduce these results we used the fact that if  $y = \ln f(x)$  then  $\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}$ ].

(ii)  $z = x \cos y + ye^x$ . Then  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \cos y + ye^x$  and  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = -x \sin y + e^x$ .

(iii)  $z = y \sin xy$ . Then  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = y(y \cos xy) = y^2 \cos xy$  and  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = yx \cos xy + \sin xy$ . For the second result we used the product rule.

(iv) If  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$  find the rate at which  $z$  is changing with respect to  $y$  at the point  $(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$ . *Solution.* We have  $z = (1 - x^2 - y^2)^{1/2}$ . We want  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$  when

$(x, y) = (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$ . But

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - x^2 - y^2)^{-1/2}(-2y) = -\frac{y}{(1 - x^2 - y^2)^{1/2}}$$

Putting in  $(x, y) = (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$  gives

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = -\frac{1/3}{(1 - (2/3)^2 - (1/3)^2)^{1/2}} = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

## 0.6 Functions of 3 or more variables

The general notation would be something like

$$w = f(x, y, z)$$

where  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  are the independent variables. For example,  $w = x \sin(y + 3z)$ . Partial derivatives are computed similarly to the two variable case. For example,  $\partial w / \partial x$  means differentiate with respect to  $x$  holding both  $y$  and  $z$  constant and so, for this example,  $\partial w / \partial x = \sin(y + 3z)$ . Note that a function of three variables does not have a graph.

## 0.7 Second order partial derivatives

Again, let  $z = f(x, y)$  be a function of  $x$  and  $y$ .

- $\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2}$  means the second derivative with respect to  $x$  holding  $y$  constant
- $\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y^2}$  means the second derivative with respect to  $y$  holding  $x$  constant
- $\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x \partial y}$  means differentiate first with respect to  $y$  and then with respect to  $x$ .

The “mixed” partial derivative  $\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x \partial y}$  is as important in applications as the others. It is a general result that

$$\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y \partial x}$$

i.e. you get the same answer whichever order the differentiation is done.

## 0.8 Example

Let  $z = 4x^2 - 8xy^4 + 7y^5 - 3$ . Find all the first and second order partial derivatives of  $z$ .

*Solution.*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} &= 8x - 8y^4 \\ \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} &= -8x(4y^3) + 35y^4 = -32xy^3 + 35y^4 \\ \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right) = 8 \\ \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y^2} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (-32xy^3 + 35y^4) = -32x(3y^2) + 140y^3 \\ &= -96xy^2 + 140y^3 \\ \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x \partial y} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (-32xy^3 + 35y^4) = -32y^3 \\ \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y \partial x} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (8x - 8y^4) = -32y^3\end{aligned}$$

## 0.9 Example

Find all the first and second order partial derivatives of the function  $z = \sin xy$ .

*Solution.*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} &= y \cos xy \\ \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} &= x \cos xy \\ \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2} &= -y^2 \sin xy \\ \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y^2} &= -x^2 \sin xy \\ \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x \partial y} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x \cos xy) = x(-y \sin xy) + \cos xy = -xy \sin xy + \cos xy \\ \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y \partial x} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (y \cos xy) = y(-x \sin xy) + \cos xy = -xy \sin xy + \cos xy\end{aligned}$$

## 0.10 Subscript notation for second order partial derivatives

If  $z = f(x, y)$  then

- $z_{xx}$  means  $\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2}$
- $z_{yy}$  means  $\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y^2}$

- $z_{xy}$  means  $\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x \partial y}$  or  $\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y \partial x}$

## 0.11 Important point

Unlike ordinary derivatives, partial derivatives do **not** behave like fractions, in particular

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial z} \neq \frac{1}{\partial z / \partial x}$$

## 0.12 Small changes

Let

$$z = f(x, y)$$

Imagine we change  $x$  to  $x + \delta x$  and  $y$  to  $y + \delta y$  with  $\delta x$  and  $\delta y$  very small. We ask: what is the corresponding change in  $z$ ? The answer is that the change is  $\delta z$ , given by

$$\delta z \approx \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \delta x + \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \delta y \quad (0.1)$$

This formula requires  $\delta x$  and  $\delta y$  to be very small and even then the formula is only an approximate one. However, it becomes more and more exact as  $\delta x \rightarrow 0$  and  $\delta y \rightarrow 0$ . This fact is sometimes expressed by saying

$$dz = \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} dy$$

where  $dx$ ,  $dy$  and  $dz$  are infinitesimal increments.

Let's give some idea where formula (0.1) comes from. Let's recall the analogous result for a function of one variable and its derivation. For a function of one variable the notation would be  $y = g(x)$  and the graph of this is a curve with a gradient  $dy/dx$  at each point  $x$ . If consider two points on this curve,  $(x, y)$  and a neighbouring point  $(x + \delta x, y + \delta y)$  then if this neighbouring point is sufficiently close the line joining the two points, which has gradient  $\delta y / \delta x$ , is a good approximation to the tangent line at  $(x, y)$  which has gradient  $dy/dx$ . This means that  $\delta y / \delta x \approx dy/dx$  so that  $\delta y \approx (dy/dx)\delta x$ .

We want to generalise this idea to a function  $z = f(x, y)$  of two variables, whose graph will be a surface.

In the  $(x, y)$  plane let  $A$  be the point with coordinates  $(x, y)$ , let  $B$  be the point with coordinates  $(x + \delta x, y)$ , and  $C$  the point with coordinates  $(x + \delta x, y + \delta y)$ .

The overall change in height,  $\delta z$ , from  $A$  to  $C$  is given by

$$\delta z = (\text{change in height } A \text{ to } B) + (\text{change in height } B \text{ to } C)$$

In calculating the change in height from  $A$  to  $B$  we are travelling across the surface from  $A$  to  $B$  along a curve in which  $y$  is held fixed, so by the result for curves,

$$\text{change in height } A \text{ to } B \approx \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \delta x$$

Similarly

$$\text{change in height } B \text{ to } C \approx \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \delta y$$

Therefore

$$\delta z \approx \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \delta x + \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \delta y$$

and we have derived formula (0.1).

### 0.13 Example

A cylindrical tank is 1 m high and 0.3 m radius. If height is increased by 5 cm and radius by 1 cm what is the effect on volume?

*Solution.* Let the radius be  $r$  and height be  $h$ . Then the volume  $V$  is given by

$$V = \pi r^2 h$$

so that  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} = 2\pi r h$  and  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial h} = \pi r^2$ . Therefore in the notation of the present problem formula (0.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \delta V &\approx \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \delta r + \frac{\partial V}{\partial h} \delta h \\ &= 2\pi r h \delta r + \pi r^2 h \delta h \end{aligned}$$

In our case  $r = 0.3$ ,  $h = 1$ ,  $\delta r = 1 \text{ cm} = 0.01 \text{ m}$ ,  $\delta h = 5 \text{ cm} = 0.05 \text{ m}$  so

$$\delta V \approx 2\pi(0.3)(1)(0.01) + \pi(0.3)^2(0.05) = 0.033 \text{ m}^3$$

### 0.14 Example

The angle of elevation of the top of a tower is found to be  $30^\circ \pm 0.5^\circ$  from a point  $300 \pm 0.1 \text{ m}$  from the base. Estimate the towers height.

*Solution.* One could imagine that this sort of problem would arise when a surveyor is unable to take completely accurate readings and wants to know the likely margin of error.

Let  $\theta$  be the angle of elevation,  $h$  the towers height and  $x$  the distance from tower to observer. Then

$$h = x \tan \theta$$

so that  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = \tan \theta$  and  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta} = x \sec^2 \theta$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \delta h &\approx \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \delta x + \frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta} \delta \theta \\ &= \tan \theta \delta x + x \sec^2 \theta \delta \theta \end{aligned}$$

Now  $\theta = 30^\circ = \pi/6$  radians and  $\delta \theta = 0.5^\circ = 0.008727$  radians. Also  $x = 300 \text{ m}$  and  $\delta x = 0.1 \text{ m}$ . Therefore

$$\delta h \approx (\tan \pi/6)(0.1) + 300(\sec^2 \pi/6)(0.008727) = 3.55 \text{ m}$$

From  $h = x \tan \theta$ , we get  $h = 173.21$  m. Our conclusion is that the height is  $173.21 \pm 3.55$  m.

**NB: If you had not converted degrees to radians your final answer would be wrong.**

### 0.15 Absolute, relative and percentage change

- absolute change is  $\delta z$
- relative change is  $\frac{\delta z}{z}$
- percentage change is  $\frac{\delta z}{z} \times 100$

### 0.16 Example on percentage change

Length and width of a rectangle are measured with errors of at most 3% and 5% respectively. Estimate the maximum percentage error in the area.

*Solution.* Let  $x =$  length,  $y =$  width and  $A =$  area. Then, of course,  $A = xy$ . So  $\frac{\partial A}{\partial x} = y$  and  $\frac{\partial A}{\partial y} = x$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\delta A &\approx \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} \delta x + \frac{\partial A}{\partial y} \delta y \\ &= y \delta x + x \delta y\end{aligned}$$

We want percentage change in  $A$ , which is relative change multiplied by 100 so let's work out relative change first. This is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta A}{A} &\approx \frac{y \delta x}{A} + \frac{x \delta y}{A} \\ &= \frac{\delta x}{x} + \frac{\delta y}{y}\end{aligned}$$

since  $A = xy$ . What we are told is that

$$-0.03 \leq \frac{\delta x}{x} \leq 0.03 \quad \text{and} \quad -0.05 \leq \frac{\delta y}{y} \leq 0.05$$

What we need to do now is identify the worst case scenario, i.e. the maximum possible value for  $\delta A/A$  given the above constraints. This happens when  $\delta x/x = 0.03$  and  $\delta y/y = 0.05$ , giving  $\delta A/A = 0.08$ . This is relative error, so the (worst) percentage error is 8%.

**NB: in some problems the worst case scenario is obtained by setting one of  $\delta x/x$  or  $\delta y/y$  to be its most negative (rather than most positive) possible value.**



## 0.17 Chain rule for partial derivatives

Recall the chain rule for ordinary derivatives:

$$\text{if } y = f(u) \text{ and } u = g(x) \text{ then } \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dy}{du} \frac{du}{dx}$$

In the above we call  $u$  the **intermediate variable** and  $x$  the **independent variable**. For partial derivatives the chain rule is more complicated. It depends on how many intermediate variables and how many independent variables are present. Below three formulae are given which it is hoped indicate the general points. Essentially, every intermediate variable has to have a term corresponding to it in the right hand side of the chain rule formula. For example in the second one below there are three intermediate variables  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  and three terms in the RHS.

Formula 3 below illustrates a case when there are 2 intermediate and 2 independent variables.

- (1) if  $z = f(x, y)$  and  $x$  and  $y$  are functions of  $t$  ( $x = x(t)$  and  $y = y(t)$ ) then  $z$  is ultimately a function of  $t$  only and

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \frac{dy}{dt}$$

- (2) if  $w = f(x, y, z)$  and  $x = x(t)$ ,  $y = y(t)$ ,  $z = z(t)$  then  $w$  is ultimately a function of  $t$  only and

$$\frac{dw}{dt} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \frac{dy}{dt} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \frac{dz}{dt}$$

- (3) if  $z = f(x, y)$  and  $x = x(u, v)$ ,  $y = y(u, v)$  then  $z$  is a function of  $u$  and  $v$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial z}{\partial u} &= \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} \\ \frac{\partial z}{\partial v} &= \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial v} \end{aligned}$$

## 0.18 Example

Let  $z = x^2y$ ,  $x = t^2$  and  $y = t^3$ . Calculate  $dz/dt$  by (a) the chain rule, (b) expressing  $z$  as a function of  $t$  and finding  $dz/dt$  directly.

*Solution.* (a) by the chain rule

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dz}{dt} &= \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \frac{dy}{dt} \\ &= (2xy)(2t) + (x^2)(3t^2) \\ &= 4xyt + 3x^2t^2 \\ &= 4t^2t^3t + 3t^4t^2 \\ &= 7t^6 \end{aligned}$$

- (b)  $z = x^2y$  and  $x = t^2$ ,  $y = t^3$  so  $z = t^4t^3 = t^7$ . Differentiating gives  $dz/dt = 7t^6$ .

It might be tempting to say that approach (b) is clearly easier so why bother with the chain rule? But the fact remains that the chain rule is of fundamental importance in many applications of partial derivatives. We shall see below the use of the chain rule in studying rates of change. And the chain rule is also of importance in the derivation of the partial differential equations that govern many physical processes (eg the Navier Stokes equations of fluid dynamics); in such cases you are not simply playing around with trivial functions but dealing with *unknown* functions.

### 0.19 Example

Let  $w = xy + z$  with  $x = \cos t$ ,  $y = \sin t$  and  $z = t$ . Calculate  $dw/dt$ .

*Solution.*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dw}{dt} &= \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \frac{dy}{dt} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \frac{dz}{dt} \\ &= y(-\sin t) + x(\cos t) + (1)(1) \\ &= -\sin^2 t + \cos^2 t + 1 \end{aligned}$$

### 0.20 Example

Let  $u = x^2 - 2xy + 2y^3$  with  $x = s^2 \ln t$  and  $y = 2st^3$ . Find  $\partial u/\partial s$  and  $\partial u/\partial t$ .

*Solution.* This time  $u$  is a function of 2 variables  $x$  and  $y$ , each of which is itself a function of 2 variables  $s$  and  $t$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial s} &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial s} \\ &= (2x - 2y)(2s \ln t) + (-2x + 6y^2)(2t^3) \\ &= (2s^2 \ln t - 4st^3)(2s \ln t) + (-2s^2 \ln t + 24s^2t^6)(2t^3) \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} \\ &= (2x - 2y) \left( \frac{s^2}{t} \right) + (-2x + 6y^2)(6st^2) \\ &= (2s^2 \ln t - 4st^3) \left( \frac{s^2}{t} \right) + (-2s^2 \ln t + 24s^2t^6)(6st^2) \end{aligned}$$

### 0.21 Rates of change: an application of the chain rule

We will do some applications of the chain rule to rates of change.

**Example.** What rate is the area of a rectangle changing if its length is 15 m and increasing at  $3 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  while its width is 6 m and increasing at  $2 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ .

*Solution.* Let  $x$  be the length,  $y$  the width,  $A$  the area and  $t = \text{time}$ . The information given tells us that

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = 3 \text{ ms}^{-1}, \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = 2 \text{ ms}^{-1}$$

Obviously  $A = xy$ . We want  $dA/dt$  when  $x = 15$  and  $y = 6$ . This is given by the chain rule as follows:

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} \frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial y} \frac{dy}{dt} = y \frac{dx}{dt} + x \frac{dy}{dt} = (6)(3) + (15)(2) = 48 \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}.$$

**Example.** The height of a tree increases at a rate of 2 ft per year and the radius increases at 0.1 ft per year. What rate is the volume of timber increasing at when the height is 20 ft and the radius is 1.5 ft. (Assume the tree is a circular cylinder).

*Solution.* The volume  $V$  is given by  $V = \pi r^2 h$ . The chain rule gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dV}{dt} &= \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{dr}{dt} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial h} \frac{dh}{dt} \\ &= 2\pi r h \frac{dr}{dt} + \pi r^2 \frac{dh}{dt} \end{aligned}$$

We are told that  $dh/dt = 2$  ft per year and  $dr/dt = 0.1$  ft per year. So, when  $h = 20$  and  $r = 1.5$ ,

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = 2\pi(1.5)(20)(0.1) + \pi(1.5)^2(2) = 32.99 \text{ ft}^3/\text{year}$$

## 0.22 The chain rule and implicit differentiation

Suppose we cannot find  $y$  explicitly as a function of  $x$ , only implicitly through the equation  $F(x, y) = 0$  (for example,  $F(x, y)$  might be an awkward expression such that  $F(x, y) = 0$  cannot in practice be solved to give  $y$  in terms of  $x$ ). We want a formula for  $dy/dx$ .

We know that  $F(x, y) = 0$  defines  $y$  as a function of  $x$ ,  $y = y(x)$ , even if we cannot in practice find the expression for  $y$  in terms of  $x$ . This means that we could write  $F(x, y) = 0$  as  $F(x, y(x)) = 0$ . Differentiating both sides of this, using the chain rule on the left hand side, gives

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(1) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \frac{dy}{dx} = 0$$

Hence

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{\partial F/\partial x}{\partial F/\partial y}$$

As an example of the use of this formula, let us find  $dy/dx$  for the function  $y$  defined by  $x^2 + xy + y^3 - 7 = 0$ . Let  $F(x, y) = x^2 + xy + y^3 - 7$ . Then by the above formula,

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{\partial F/\partial x}{\partial F/\partial y} = -\frac{(2x + y)}{x + 3y^2}$$

Alternatively you could deduce this result by using implicit differentiation (a technique which you should know about from previous study). It should, of course, give the same answer.

As an extension of the above idea, let the equation  $f(x, y, z) = 0$  define  $z$  as a function of  $x$  and  $y$ , so that  $x$  and  $y$  are viewed as independent variables. We want

to find  $\partial z/\partial x$  and  $\partial z/\partial y$ . The calculation here is a somewhat subtle one, in which  $x$  actually plays the role of both an intermediate variable and an independent one. Differentiating the equation  $f(x, y, z) = 0$  with respect to  $x$  using the chain rule gives

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(1) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = 0$$

Now  $\partial y/\partial x$  is, in fact, zero. The reason is that  $y$  and  $x$  are independent of each other. So

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = 0$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial f/\partial x}{\partial f/\partial z}$$

and similarly

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial f/\partial y}{\partial f/\partial z}$$

## 0.23 Transforming to polars

Let  $u = u(x, y)$  be a function of  $x$  and  $y$ . Let

$$x = r \cos \theta, \quad y = r \sin \theta$$

Our aim is to show that

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} \quad (0.2)$$

which is the expression for the Laplacian operator in plane polar coordinates. It is useful for solving, for example, the steady state heat equation in situations with circular geometry.

By the chain rule,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial r}$$

i.e.

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = \cos \theta \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \sin \theta \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$$

Differentiating the above expression with respect to  $r$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} &= \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) + \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \\ &= \cos \theta \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} \right) + \sin \theta \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} \right) \\ &= \cos^2 \theta \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \sin \theta \cos \theta \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} + \sin \theta \cos \theta \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} + \sin^2 \theta \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} \\ &= -r \sin \theta \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + r \cos \theta \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\end{aligned}$$

and, after a long calculation,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} &= r^2 \sin^2 \theta \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + r^2 \cos^2 \theta \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - 2r^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} \\ &\quad - r \cos \theta \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - r \sin \theta \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} &= \cos^2 \theta \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + 2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} + \sin^2 \theta \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{r} \left( \cos \theta \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \sin \theta \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \\ + \frac{1}{r^2} \left( r^2 \sin^2 \theta \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + r^2 \cos^2 \theta \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - 2r^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} - r \cos \theta \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - r \sin \theta \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}\end{aligned}$$

so that (0.2) is proved.